arXiv:1702.07003v1 [math.AP] 22 Feb 2017

GLOBAL CLASSICAL SOLUTIONS TO REACTION-DIFFUSION SYSTEMS IN ONE AND TWO DIMENSIONS

BAO QUOC TANG

ABSTRACT. The global existence of classical solutions to reaction-diffusion systems in dimensions one and two is proved. The considered systems are assumed to satisfy an *entropy inequality* and have nonlinearities with at most cubic growth in 1D or at most quadratic growth in 2D. By a modified Galiardo-Nirenberg inequality and the regularity of the heat operator, the classical solution is proved global and has L^∞-norm growing at most polynomially in time. As an application, the solutions to systems satisfying the so-called complex balance condition are proved to converge exponentially to equilibrium in L^∞-norm.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Proof of main results 4
3. Applications to complex balanced systems 9
References 11

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d = 1, 2$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ (e.g. $\partial\Omega$ is of class $C^{2+\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon > 0$). In this paper, we study the global existence of classical soltuions to nonlinear reaction-diffusion system for $u = (u_1, \dots, u_N) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_i - d_i \Delta u_i &= f_i(u), && x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ \nabla u \cdot \nu &= 0, && t > 0, \\ u_i(x, 0) &= u_{i,0}(x), && x \in \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $d_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ are diffusion coefficients, ν is the outward normal on $\partial\Omega$, the nonlinearities $f_i : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ are assumed satisfy some properties which will be specified later.

Reaction-diffusion systems (RD systems) of type (1.1) are used to model many phenomena in natural sciences such as physics, chemistry or biology. The global existence of (classical, strong, weak) solutions to systems of type (1.1) is thus of importance, and has been extensively studied in literature and become nowadays a classical topic (see e.g. [1, 15, 18, 20, 24, 14] and references therein). However, it still poses many open problems, since it is usually difficult to obtain suitable *a priori* estimates of solutions to

Date: February 24, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B40, 35K57, 35Q92, 80A30, 80A32.
Key words and phrases. Reaction-diffusion systems; Global classical solutions; Chemical reaction networks.

general RD systems (maximum principle fails to apply to RD systems except very special cases).

This paper studies global existence of classical solutions to RD system (1.1) in one and two dimensions, where the nonlinearities $f_i : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ are assumed to be locally Lipschitz continuous and satisfy the following conditions:

- (Positivity preserving) For all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N_+$ it holds

$$f_i(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, 0, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_N) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \tag{P}$$

- (Entropy inequality) There exists $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i(u)(\mu_i + \log u_i) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^N_+. \tag{E}$$

- (Growth condition) For all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ it holds for all $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$|f_i(u)| \leq K(|u|^\mu + 1), \tag{G}$$

for some constant $K > 0$, where the growth rate μ satisfies

$$\mu \leq 3 \quad \text{when} \quad d = 1,$$

and

$$\mu \leq 2 \quad \text{when} \quad d = 2.$$

Here $|u| = \sum_{i=1}^N |u_i|$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Example 1.1. *We give an example of a reaction-diffusion system satisfying* (P), (E) *and* (G). *Consider the following reversible chemical reaction involving sulfur dioxide, oxygen and sulfur trioxide*

$$2SO_2 + O_2 \leftrightharpoons 2SO_3$$

where the forward and backward reaction rate constants are assumed to be one. Denote by u_1, u_2, u_3 *the concentrations of* SO_2, O_2 *and* SO_3 *respectively. The corresponding reaction-diffusion system for* $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ *reads as*

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t u_1 - d_1 \Delta u_1 &= -2(u_1^2 u_2 - u_3^2) &&=: f_1(u),\\
\partial_t u_2 - d_2 \Delta u_2 &= -u_1^2 u_2 + u_3^2 &&=: f_2(u),\\
\partial_t u_3 - d_3 \Delta u_3 &= +2(u_1^2 u_2 - u_3^2) &&=: f_3(u),
\end{aligned}$$

with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition $\nabla u_i \cdot \nu = 0$ *and initial data* $u_i(\cdot, 0) = u_{i,0}(\cdot)$. *Here* $d_1, d_2, d_3 > 0$ *are positive diffusion coefficients. It is obvious that* $f_i(u)$ *satisfies the positivity preserving property* (P) *and the growth condition* (G) *in one dimension. Moreover, with* $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 0$ *we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} f_i(u)(\mu_i + \log u_i) = -(u_1^2 u_2 - u_3^2)(\log(u_1^2 u_2) - \log(u_3^2)) \leq 0$$

since the function $\log x$ *is increasing. That means the entropy inequality* (E) *is satisfied.*

Condition (P) has a simple interpretation: when the i-th concentration is zero then it cannot be consumed in the reaction. This assumption is sometimes called *quasi-positivity* and it helps to obtain the positivity of solutions provided initial data are positive (see e.g. [21]). The entropy inequality (E) provides a control on solutions in $L \log L$-norm (see

Lemma 2.1), and it is guaranteed in many physical systems, see e.g. chemical reaction networks satisfying a complex balanced condition in Section 3. Loosely speaking, (**E**) means that the energy of the corresponding system is dissipating. Condition (**G**) is the only "real" restriction of systems under consideration in this paper. These restriction on the growth of nonlinearities is necessary for obtaining from $L\log L$-bound (implied by (**E**)) suitable *a priori* estimates, which in turn lead to global classical solutions. Possible extensions to higher orders or higher dimensions, for example $\mu = 2$ and $d \geq 3$, remains as an open problem.

Systems of type (1.1) with the conditions (**P**), (**E**) has been extensively investigated (see e.g. [3, 4, 7, 12, 10, 16, 17, 24]). We refer the reader to the review paper [22] for a detailed discussion. It is worth mentioning that the global existence of classical solution to (1.1) is widely open in general, recall for instance the case of quadratic growth $\mu = 2$ in higher dimensions $d \geq 3$ (cf. [3, 4, 17]). For weaker notions of solutions, we refer the reader to [13] where the author showed the global existence of renormalised solutions under the conditions (**P**) and (**E**).

To put our work into context, let us recall that in [3], by using a duality method the authors have proved the global existence of classical solutions to (1.1) with quadratic nonlinearities, i.e. $|f_i(u)| \leq C(|u|^2+1)$, in one and two dimensions. When the dimension is three or higher, the classical solution is proved global provided the diffusion coefficients are closed to each other. Even with quadratic nonlinearities, the global existence of classical solutions (without the assumption on diffusion coefficients) still remains open. We remark that, since the duality method is independent of dimensions, the arguments in [3] are not directly applicable to systems with cubic nonlinearities (even in one dimension). On the other hand, it was proved in [17] that system (1.1) with conditions (**P**), (**E**) and (**G**) has global classical solutions. However, their proof was based on a heavy machinery so-called De Giorgi's method, and thus apparently did not provide any bounds (w.r.t. time) on the classical solutions.

In this paper, with the help of a modified Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and the regularity of the heat operator, we prove by simple arguments that system (1.1) with conditions (**P**), (**E**) and (**G**) has a unique global classical solution. This in particular solves an open question in [7, Remark 7.1]. One advantage of our results is that the L^∞-norm of the solution grows at most polynomially in time. This is usually called *slowly growing a-priori bounds*, see e.g. [5, 25]. This result is very helpful, especially when the asymptotic behaviour of solutions in weaker norm, say L^1-norm, is already established (see Corollary 3.1 for an application to chemical reaction networks). Note finally that similar ideas were also used in [16] to obtain global solutions for systems with quadratic nonlinearities in two dimensions.

The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1.2. *Assume the diffusion coefficients are positive, i.e.* $d_i > 0$ *for all* $i = 1, \dots, N$, *and the nonlinearities satisfy* (**P**), (**E**) *and* (**G**). *Then for any initial data* $(c_{i,0}) \in L^\infty(\Omega)^N$, *system* (1.1) *has a unique classical solution on* $(0, \infty)$. *Moreover, the* L^∞*-norm of this solution grows at most polynomially in time, i.e. for all* $T > 0$

$$\|u_i(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_T \quad \textit{for all} \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$

in which C_T is a constant depends polynomially in T.

Remark 1.3. *The choice of L^∞ initial data is certainly not optimal. We choose it here for the sake of simplicity.*

The rest of this paper is organised as follows: In the next section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2, and then its application to complex balanced systems is described in Section 3.

Notations: For simplicity, we will use the following set of notations:

- The norm in $L^p(\Omega)$ with $1 \le p \le \infty$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$.
- For any $T > 0$ we write $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(Q_T)} := \|\cdot\|_{L^p(0,T;L^p(\Omega))}$ for $p \ge 1$.
- We will denote by C_i a various constant (possibly) depending on the domain Ω, the diffusion coefficients, etc., but *independent of time t*.

2. Proof of main results

The local existence of classical solutions to (1.1) with locally Lipschitz nonlinearities is standard (see e.g. [1]). Moreover, thanks to the positivity preserving property (**P**) of the nonlinearities, the solution is positive as long as it exists, see e.g. [21].
To prove the classical solution to be global, we first show in Lemma 2.1 a uniform-in-time bound for solution in L^1- and $L \log L$-norms. These bounds, in a combination with a modified Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality in Lemma 2.2, lead then to some L^p-integrability of solutions in Lemma 2.3. Finally this integrability implies, with the help from smoothing effect of the heat operator in Lemma 2.4, the bound of solutions in L^∞.

Lemma 2.1 (Entropy estimate)**.** *Assume that* (**E**) *holds. Then we have the following a priori estimate for any solution to* (1.1)*,*

$$\sup_{t\ge 0} \|u_i(t)\|_{L^1} \le C_0, \qquad \sup_{t\ge 0} \|u_i(t)\log u_i(t)\|_{L^1} \le C_0$$

here C_0 is a constant independent of t.

Proof. From the entropy inequality (**E**) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N (u_i(\mu_i + \log u_i) - u_i)dx \\
&\quad = \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N (\mu_i + \log u_i)\partial_t u_i dx \\
&\quad = -\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N d_i \frac{|\nabla u_i|^2}{u_i} dx + \int_\Omega \sum_{r=1}^N (\mu_i + \log u_i) f_i(u) dx \\
&\quad \le -\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N d_i \frac{|\nabla u_i|^2}{u_i} dx \le 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Hence,

$$\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N (u_i(t)\log u_i(t) - u_i(t) + \mu_i u_i(t))dx \le \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N (u_{i,0}\log u_{i,0} - u_{i,0} + \mu_i u_{i,0})dx.$$

Denote by C_1 the right hand side, we rewrite this inequality as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N (u_i(t)\log u_i(t) - u_i(t) + 1)dx &\le C_1 + N|\Omega| - \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i u_i(t)dx \\ &\le C_1 + N|\Omega| + \max_{i=1,\dots,N}|\mu_i| \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i(t)dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Using the inequality $x\log x - x + 1 \ge Lx - e^L + 1$ for all $L > 0$ we have

$$\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N (u_i(t)\log u_i(t) - u_i(t) + 1)dx \ge K\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i(t)dx - e^K N|\Omega| + N|\Omega|$$

with $K = 2\max_{i=1,\dots,N}|\mu_i|$. Therefore we obtain the estimate

$$\int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(t)dx \le \frac{1}{K}(C_1 + e^K N|\Omega|)$$

which, together with the positivity of the solution, leads to the uniform in tim L^1-bound. The bound of $\|u_i(t)\log u_i(t)\|_{L^1}$ follows immediately from (2.2) and $x\log x \ge x - 1$ for all $x \ge 0$.

■

Lemma 2.2 (A modified Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality)**.** *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $f \in H^1(\Omega)$*

$$\|f\|_{L^4}^4 \le \varepsilon\|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2\|f\log|f|\|_{L^1}^2 + C_\varepsilon\|f\|_{L^1} \quad \textit{when} \quad d = 1,$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^3}^3 \le \varepsilon\|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2\|f\log|f|\|_{L^1} + C_\varepsilon\|f\|_{L^1} \quad \textit{when} \quad d = 2.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the ideas in [2] where the authors obtained a similar version (with estimates for L^3-norm) in two dimensions. We only give a proof in case $d = 1$ since the proof in case $d = 2$ is similar.
Fix a constant $N > 1$. Define a function $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ as $\chi(s) = 0$ if $|s| \le N$, $\chi(s) = 2(|s| - N)$ when $N < |s| \le 2N$ and $\chi(s) = |s|$ when $|s| > 2N$. In this proof we use

$$\Omega\{|f| \le N\} := \{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| \ge N\}.$$

First we write

$$\|f\|_{L^4}^4 \le \left(\|\chi(f)\|_{L^4} + \|f - \chi(f)\|_{L^4}^4\right)^4 \le 4(\|\chi(f)\|_{L^4}^4 + \|f - \chi(f)\|_{L^4}^4) \tag{2.3}$$

and then estimate each term separately. It is easy to see that

$$\|f - \chi(f)\|_{L^4}^4 = \int_\Omega |f - \chi(f)|^4 dx \le (2N)^3 \int_{\Omega\{|f|\le 2N\}} |f|dx \le (2N)^3\|f\|_{L^1}. \tag{2.4}$$

Concerning the other term, we use the usual Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|\chi(f)\|_{L^4}^4 \le C_4\|\chi(f)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2\|\chi(f)\|_{L^1}^2 \tag{2.5}$$

for some constant $C_4 > 0$. On the one hand

$$\|\chi(f)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 = \|\chi'(f)\nabla f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\chi(f)\|_{L^2}^2 \le 4\|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2, \tag{2.6}$$

and on the other hand

$$\|\chi(f)\|_{L^1}^2 \le \left(\int_{\Omega\{|f|\ge N\}} |f|dx\right)^2 \le (\log N)^{-2}\|f\log|f|\|_{L^1}^2. \tag{2.7}$$

By combining (2.3)–(2.7) we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^4}^4 \le 16C_4(\log N)^{-2}\|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2\|f\log|f|\|_{L^1}^2 + 4(2N)^3\|f\|_{L^1}. \tag{2.8}$$

At this point we can choose N to be large enough to obtain the desired inequality. ■

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that* (P), (E) *and* (G) *hold. Then for any $T > 0$, we have for all $i = 1, \dots, N$*

$$\|u_i\|_{L^4(Q_T)} \le C \text{ when } d = 1, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\|u_i\|_{L^{4-\epsilon}(Q_T)} \le C \text{ when } d = 2, \tag{2.10}$$

with $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrary, where C is a constant independent of T.

Proof. Recall that C_i denotes a various constant depending on the domain Ω, the diffusion coefficients, the constant K in (G), and constant C_0 in Lemma 2.1, but *independent* of time t. Note that all constants C_i can be explicitly computed.
We first prove (2.9). Multiplying the equation

$$\partial_t u_i - d_i\Delta u_i = f_i(u)$$

by u_i in $L^2(\Omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + d_i\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_\Omega f_i(u)u_i dx \\
&\le K\int_\Omega (|u|^3+1)|u_i|dx \\
&\le 3K\int_\Omega \sum_{j=1}^N |u_i||u_j|^3 dx + KC_0 \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.1)} \\
&\le 3K\sum_{j=1}^N (\|u_j\|_{L^4}^4 + \|u_i\|_{L^4}^4) + KC_0 \quad \text{(Hölder's inequality)} \\
&\le 3NK\sum_{j=1}^N \|u_j\|_{L^4}^4 + KC_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Hence, by summing over $i = 1, \dots, N$ and using Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le 3N^2K\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^4}^4 + KNC_0 \\
&\le 3N^2K\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\varepsilon\|u_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2\|u_i\log u_i\|_{L^1}^2 + C_\varepsilon\|u_i\|_{L^1}\right) \\
&\le 3\varepsilon N^3KC_0^2\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + 3N^3KC_\varepsilon C_0 \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing ε small enough we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^2}^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + C_6$$

for some $C_6 > 0$. By adding $2\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2$ to both sides and using the one dimensional embedding inequality $\|u_i\|_{L^\infty}^2 \le C_7\|u_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + C_7\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^\infty}^2 &\le C_6 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\le C_6 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^1}\|u_i\|_{L^\infty} \quad \text{(interpolation)} \\
&\le C_6 + C_8\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^1}^2 + \frac{C_7}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^\infty}^2 \\
&\le C_9 + \frac{C_7}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^\infty}^2 \quad (\text{by } \|u_i\|_{L^1} \le C_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using one more $\|u_i\|_{L^\infty}^2 \ge \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2$, it leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{10}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{11}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^\infty}^2 \le C_9$$

and consequently a Gronwall's inequality gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{11}\int_0^T\|u_i(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 d\tau \le e^{-C_{10}t}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_{i,0}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C_9}{C_{10}} \quad \text{for all} \quad t > 0.$$

From this we have $u_i \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ and $u_i \in L^2(0,T;L^\infty(\Omega))$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. Finally, an interpolation

$$L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;L^\infty(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^4(Q_T)$$

we obtain (2.9).

Consider now the case $d = 2$. With computations similar to (2.11) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_{12}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^3}^3 + C_{13}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 with $d = 2$ to $\|u_i\|_{L^3}^3$, and using the bounds $\sup_{t\ge 0}\|u_i(t)\|_{L^1} \le C_0$ and $\sup_{t\ge 0}\|u_i(t)\log u_i(t)\|_{L^1} \le C_0$ in Lemma 2.1 lead to

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^2}^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + C_{14}.$$

By adding both sides with $\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2$ and using the two-dimensional embedding $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ for any $2 \le p < +\infty$, we end up with

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^p}^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{14}. \tag{2.12}$$

Interpolation inequality and Young's inequality give

$$\|u_i\|_{L^2}^2 \le \|u_i\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p}{p-2}}\|u_i\|_{L^1}^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \le \frac{d_i}{4}\|u_i\|_{L^p}^2 + C_{15}\|u_i\|_{L^1}^2.$$

Inserting this into (2.12) and using the L^1-bound of u_i we finally obtain, after using Gronwall's inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_i(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{15}\int_0^T \|u_i(t)\|_{L^p}^2 dt \le e^{-C_{16}t}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|u_{i,0}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_{17} \quad \text{for all} \quad t > 0.$$

Hence $u_i \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;L^p(\Omega))$. Therefore the interpolation

$$L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0,T;L^p(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^{4-\frac{4}{p}}(Q_T)$$

and the fact that $1 \le p < +\infty$ is arbitrary give us the desired estimate (2.10). ■

We need the following the regularity of solutions to a heat equation with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition. The proof can be found in [3, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 2.4 (Regularity of heat kernel)**.** [3, Lemma 3.3] *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Consider the heat equation*

$$\partial_t y - \delta\Delta y = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0,T)$$

where $\delta > 0$, with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition $\nabla y \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, and initial data $y(x,0) = y_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Assume that right hand side $f \in L^p(Q_T)$ with $p \ge 1$.

(i) *If $p < (d+2)/2$ then*

$$\|y\|_{L^s(Q_T)} \le C_T \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \le s < \frac{p(d+2)}{d+2-2p}.$$

(ii) *If $p \ge (d+2)/2$ then*

$$\|y\|_{L^r(Q_T)} \le C_T \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \le r < \infty.$$

Here C_T is a constant depending on domain Ω, the integrability p and the diffusion coefficient δ, and especially depending at most polynomially *on T.*

Remark 2.5. *Note that the regularity of solution to heat equation presented in Lemma 2.4 is classical (see e.g. [18]). The novelty of the lemma is to provide the bound* $\|y\|_{L^s(Q_T)} \leq C_T$ *in which* C_T *is a constant depending at most polynomially in* T.

We are now ready to give

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We will prove that for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ it holds $\|u_i(t)\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$ for all $t > 0$ and thus confirms the global existence of classical solution.

In this proof, we will always denote by C_T a constant depending *polynomially* in $T > 0$. If $d = 1$ and $\mu = 3$ then it follows from $\|u_i\|_{L^4(Q_T)} \leq C_T$ in Lemma 2.3 and (**G**) that

$$\|f_i(u)\|_{L^{4/3}(Q_T)} \leq C_T$$

By applying Lemma 2.4 (i) to

$$\partial_t u_i - d_i \Delta u_i = f_i(u), \qquad \nabla u_i \cdot \nu = 0,$$

with $d = 1$ and $p = 4/3$ we have for all $i = 1, \ldots, N$

$$\|u_i\|_{L^s(Q_T)} \leq C_T \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq s < 12.$$

Using again the cubic growth (**G**) we obtain for $i = 1, \ldots, N$,

$$\|f_i(u)\|_{L^{s'}(Q_T)} \leq C_T \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq s' < 4.$$

Thus, we apply Lemma 2.4 (ii) to get

$$\|u_i\|_{L^r(Q_T)} \leq C_T \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq r < +\infty.$$

Hence, $\|f_i(u)\|_{L^r(Q_T)} \leq C_T$ for all $1 \leq r < +\infty$. One more bootstrap gives us the desired result

$$\|u_i(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_T \quad \text{for all } 0 < t \leq T.$$

If $d = 2$ and $\mu = 2$ then we have

$$\|f_i(u)\|_{L^{2-\epsilon}}(Q_T) \leq C_T$$

due to (**G**) and $\|u_i\|_{L^{4-\epsilon}}(Q_T) \leq C$ in Lemma 2.3. Applying Lemma 2.4 (i) to $\partial_t u_i - d_i \Delta u_i = f_i(u)$ leads to

$$\|u_i\|_{L^s(Q_T)} \leq C_T \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq s < \frac{4 - 2\epsilon}{\epsilon}.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain in fact $\|u_i\|_{L^s(Q_T)} \leq C_T$ for all $1 \leq s < +\infty$. Now Lemma 2.4 (ii) is applicable and finally provides the estimate $\|u_i(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_T$ for all $0 < t \leq T$. ∎

3. Applications to complex balanced systems

One advantage of Theorem 1.2 is that it does not only provides the global existence of a classical solutions, but also gives a control on the growth (w.r.t to time) of L^∞-norm of the solution. This becomes very helpful in the situation that we describe in the following.

A direct application of Theorem 1.2 can be seen in chemical reaction network theory. Consider N chemical substances $S_1, \ldots, S_N$ reacting in a network consisting of R reactions, in which the r-th reaction is of the form

$$y_{r,1} S_1 + \ldots + y_{r,N} S_N \xrightarrow{k_r} y'_{r,1} S_1 + \ldots + y'_{r,N} S_N.$$

Here $k_r > 0$ is the reaction constant rate, $y_{r,i}, y'_{r,i} \in \mathbb{N}$ are stoichiometric coefficients. By using the notation $y_r = (y_{r,1}, \dots, y_{r,N})$ and $y'_r = (y_{r,1}, \dots, y_{r,N})$ we can rewrite the r-th reaction as

$$y_r \xrightarrow{k_r} y'_r$$

in which we call y_r a reactant and y'_r a production, and both of them are named *complex*. Denote by $\mathfrak{C} = \{y_r, y'_r\}_{r=1,\dots,R}$ the set of all complexes. Note that each complex $y \in \mathfrak{C}$ can be both a reactant and a production (in possibly different reactions). Assume now that the following reaction network is taken place is a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and each substance S_i diffuses with a constant rate $d_i > 0$. Hence, by applying the *law of mass action* we obtain the reaction-diffusion system for the concentrations $u_1, \dots, u_N$ of $S_1, \dots, S_N$ respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_i - d_i \Delta u_i &= \sum_{r=1}^{R} \left(k_r (y_{r,i} - y'_{r,i}) \prod_{i=1}^{N} u_i^{y_{r,i}} \right), \quad && x \in \Omega, && t > 0, \\ \nabla u_i \cdot \nu &= 0, && x \in \partial\Omega, && t > 0, \\ u_i(x,0) &= u_{i,0}(x), && x \in \Omega \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where ν is the outward normal on $\partial\Omega$. System (3.1) is called *complex balanced* if there exists a strictly positive equilibrium $u_\infty = (u_{1,\infty}, \dots, u_{N,\infty}) \in \mathbb{R}^N_+$ such that at u_∞ the total in-flow and total out-flow at any complex $y \in \mathfrak{C}$ are balanced, i.e.

$$\sum_{\{r:\, y_r = y\}} \left(k_r \prod_{i=1}^{N} u_{i,\infty}^{y_{r,i}} \right) = \sum_{\{r:\, y'_r = y\}} \left(k_r \prod_{i=1}^{N} u_{i,\infty}^{y_{r,i}} \right). \tag{3.2}$$

For more details concerning complex balanced systems, the interested reader is referred to [6, 8, 9]. Note that (3.1) can also have *boundary equilibrium* u^*, that is u^* satisfies (3.2) and $u^* \in \partial\mathbb{R}^N_+$. The convergence to equilibrium for systems of type (3.1) was extensively studied recently, see e.g. [6, 11, 19, 23] and references therein. In particular, it was proved in [6, 11] that if (3.1) is complex balanced and has no boundary equilibria, then any renormalised solution (see [13]) converges in L^1-norm exponentially to the unique strictly positive complex balanced equilibrium u_∞. Thus, by applying Theorem 1.2 we can show that the classical solution (in cases globally exists) in fact converges to equilibrium in L^∞-norm exponentially.

Corollary 3.1. *Assume either*

$$d = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \max_{r=1,\dots,R} |y_r| \le 3$$

or

$$d = 2 \quad \textit{and} \quad \max_{r=1,\dots,R} |y_r| \le 2,$$

recalling that d is the spatial dimension and $|y| = |y_1| + \ldots + |y_N|$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, assume that system (3.1) is complex balanced and has no boundary equilibria. Then (3.1) has a unique global classical solution which converges exponentially in L^∞-norm to the complex balanced equilibrium u_∞, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|u_i(t) - u_{i,\infty}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le Ce^{-\lambda t} \quad \textit{for all} \quad t > 0,$$

where C and λ are positive constants.

Proof. Since system (3.1) is complex balanced and has no boundary equilibria, it follows from [6, 11] that any renormalised solution converges exponentially to equilibrium u_∞ in L^1-norm, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|u_i(t) - u_{i,\infty}\|_{L^1} \leq Ce^{-\gamma t}$$

for $C, \gamma > 0$. From Theorem 1.2 and the growth conditions of nonlinearities we have $\|u_i(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_T$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ and thus $\|f_i(u)\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \leq C_T$, for C_T being a constant depending *at most polynomially* in T. Thus by using maximal regularity of heat operator to $\partial_t u_i - d_i \Delta u_i = f_i(u)$ we have in particular

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C_T \quad \text{for all} \quad 0 < t \leq T.$$

Therefore, using the usual Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (both in the cases $d = 1$ and $d = 2$) we obtain for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|u_i(T) - u_{i,\infty}\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|u_i(T) - u_{i,\infty}\|^{\theta}_{H^2(\Omega)} \|u_i(T) - u_{i,\infty}\|^{1-\theta}_{L^1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_T^{\theta} C e^{-\gamma(1-\theta)T} \leq Ce^{-\lambda T}\end{aligned}$$

for some $0 < \lambda < \gamma(1 - \theta)$, due to the fact that C_T grows at most polynomially in T. The proof of the corollary is then finished. ∎

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Prof. Laurent Desvillettes and Prof. Klemens Fellner for fruitful discussion, which leads to this work. This work is partially supported by International Training Program IGDK 1754 and NAWI Graz.

REFERENCES

[1] H. Amann. "Global existence for semilinear parabolic systems." J. reine angew. Math 360 (1985): 47-83.

[2] P. Biler, H. Waldemar and N. Tadeusz. "The Debye system: existence and large time behavior of solutions." Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications 23.9 (1994): 1189-1209.

[3] J.A. Cañizo, L. Desvillettes and K. Fellner. "Improved duality estimates and applications to reaction-diffusion equations." Communications in Partial Differential Equations 39.6 (2014): 1185-1204.

[4] M.C. Caputo and A. Vasseur. "Global regularity of solutions to systems of reactiondiffusion with sub-quadratic growth in any dimension." Communications in Partial Differential Equations 34.10 (2009): 1228-1250.

[5] L. Desvillettes and K. Fellner. "Entropy methods for reaction-diffusion equations: slowly growing a-priori bounds." Revista Matemática Iberoamericana 24.2 (2008): 407-431.

[6] L. Desvillettes, K. Fellner and B.Q. Tang, "Trend to equilibrium for reaction-diffusion systems arising from complex balanced chemical reaction networks", accepted in SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis.

[7] L. Desvillettes, K. Fellner, M. Pierre and J. Vovelle.. Global existence for quadratic systems of reaction-diffusion. Advanced Nonlinear Studies, 7(3), (2007) 491-511.

[8] M. Feinberg, "Lectures on Chemical Reaction Networks", University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1979, https://crnt.osu.edu/LecturesOnReactionNetworks.

[9] M. Feinberg, "Chemical reaction network structure and the stability of complex isothermal reactors. I. The deficiency zero and deficiency one theorems", Chem. Eng. Sci., 42 (1987), pp. 2229–2268.

[10] K. Fellner and E.H. Laamri. "Exponential decay towards equilibrium and global classical solutions for nonlinear reaction–diffusion systems" J. Evol. Equ. (2016) 16: 681.
[11] K. Fellner and B.Q. Tang, "Convergence to equilibrium for renormalised solutions to nonlinear chemical reaction-diffusion systems", Preprint.
[12] K. Fellner, E. Latos and T. Suzuki. "Global classical solutions for mass-conserving, (super)-quadratic reaction-diffusion systems in three and higher space dimensions." Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - Series B, 2016, 21, 3441-3462.
[13] J. Fischer. "Global existence of renormalized solutions to entropy-dissipating reactiondiffusion systems." Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 218.1 (2015): 553-587.
[14] M.A. Herrero, A. Miguel, A.A. Lacey and J. JL Velázquez. "Global Existence for Reaction-Diffusion Systems Modelling Ignition." Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 142.3 (1998): 219-251.
[15] L.S. Hollis, R.H. Martin, Jr. and M. Pierre. "Global existence and boundedness in reaction-diffusion systems." SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 18.3 (1987): 744-761.
[16] A. Glitzky and R. Hunlich. "Global estimates and asymptotics for electro reaction diffusion systems in heterostructures." Applicable Analysis 66.3-4 (1997): 205-226.
[17] T. Goudon and A. Vasseur. "Regularity analysis for systems of reaction-diffusion equations." Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale supérieure. Vol. 43. No. 1. Elsevier, 2010.
[18] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya and N.N. Ural'tseva. "Linear and quasilinear equations of elliptic type." (1973): 576.
[19] A. Mielke, J. Haskovec and P. A. Markowich. "On uniform decay of the entropy for reaction–diffusion systems." Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations 27.3-4 (2015): 897-928.
[20] J. Morgan. "Global existence for semilinear parabolic systems." SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 20.5 (1989): 1128-1144.
[21] C.V. Pao. "Nonlinear parabolic and elliptic equations." Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
[22] M. Pierre, "Global existence in reaction-diffusion systems with control of mass: a survey", Milan Journal of Mathematics 78.2 (2010): 417-455.
[23] M. Pierre, T. Suzuki and R. Zou. "Asymptotic behavior of solutions to chemical reaction-diffusion systems." Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 450.1 (2017): 152-168.
[24] F. Rothe. "Global solutions of reaction-diffusion systems." Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1072, Springer 1984.
[25] G. Toscani and C. Villani. "On the trend to equilibrium for some dissipative systems with slowly increasing a priori bounds." Journal of Statistical Physics 98.5-6 (2000): 1279-1309.

BAO QUOC TANG
INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND SCIENTIFIC COMPUTING, UNIVERSITY OF GRAZ, HEINRICHSTRASSE 36, 8010 GRAZ, AUSTRIA
E-mail address: quoc.tang@uni-graz.at